City of Las Vegas

AGENDA MEMO

PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING DATE: OCTOBER 11, 2007

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT

ITEM DESCRIPTION: ABEYANCE - VAR-23109 - APPLICANT: DRAKE REAL

ESTATE SERVICES - OWNER: CAP II-FARM/DURANGO, LLC

** CONDITIONS **

STAFF RECOMMENDATION: DENIAL. If Approved, subject to:

Planning and Development

- 1. Conformance to the conditions for Special Use Permit (SUP-23111), Site Development Plan Review (SDR-23107), and Variance (VAR-23108) if approved.
- 2. This approval shall be void two years from the date of final approval, unless a certificate of occupancy has been issued or upon approval of a final inspection. An Extension of Time may be filed for consideration by the City of Las Vegas.

** STAFF REPORT **

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The project is a request for a Variance to allow 71 Parking spaces where 81 spaces are the minimum required for a proposed 14,028 square-foot General Retail store on 3.21 acres adjacent to the east side of El Capitan Way, 260 feet north of Durango Drive.

No topographical constraints exist on the site that precludes the project from adhering to Title 19.10 Parking requirements. Staff recommendation is denial.

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

Related Relevan	t City Actions by P&D, Fire, Bldg., etc.
12/07/98	The City Council approved the rezoning of the Town Center plan area (Z-0076-98) including this parcel. The Planning Commission recommended approval.
11/07/01	The City Council approved the current version Town Center Development Standards (TCDS) Manual through Bill No. 2001-100. The TCDS details the uses permitted within Town Center and the development standards that will accomplish the vision of Town Center.
10/23/03	The Planning Commission abeyed, SDR #3191, the original site plan to its 12/04/03 meeting in order to include the full commercial development site in the application. This request was noticed to include the full site in the application.
01/07/04	The City Council approved Site Development Plan Review (SDR-3191) and a Waiver of Town Center Build-to-Line standards for a 29,120 square feet of retail space on five pad sites. Planning Commission and Staff recommended denial on 12/04/03.
01/22/04	The Planning Commission approved a Tentative Map (TMP-3272) for a two-lot commercial subdivision on 4.91 acres. Staff recommended approval.
12/03/04	Planning Staff administratively approved a Minor Amendment to an approved Site Development Plan Review (SDR-3191) to allow minor changes of building footprints and to allow 29,315 square feet of retail space on four pad sites.
01/13/05	The Planning Commission denied a Special Use Permit (SUP-5684) and a Site Development Plan Review (SDR-5681) for a proposed Restaurant with Drive-through. Staff had recommended approval.
01/27/05	The Planning Commission accepted the applicant's request to Withdraw Without Prejudice Special Use Permit (SUP-5740) for a proposed Liquor Establishment (Tavern). Staff had recommended denial.

VAR-23109 - Staff Report Page Two October 11, 2007 - Planning Commission Meeting

03/21/05	Planning Staff administratively approved a minor amendment to an approved Site Development Plan Review (SDR-3191) to allow minor changes of building footprints and to allow 28,315 square feet of retail space on three pad sites.		
08/23/07	The Planning Commission held the following items in abeyance until 9/27/07 in order to allow the applicant to meet with surrounding property owners: VAR-23108, VAR-23109, SUP-23111 and SDR-23107.		
09/27/07	The Planning Commission held the following items in abeyance until 10/11/07 in order to allow staff to review revised plans: VAR-23108, VAR-23109, SUP-23111 and SDR-23107.		
Related Building	Permits/Business Licenses		
NA			
Pre-Application	Meeting		
05/31/07	A Pre-Application meeting was held where Planning staff advised the applicant of Town Center Development Standards and Title 19.08 Commercial Development standards, landscape and parking requirements, and residential adjacency requirements.		
Neighborhood M	leeting		
07/31/07	A Neighborhood Meeting was held and was attended by eight members of the public, two city staff representatives from Planning and Development and Council Ward 6, and two representatives of the applicant. Community members voiced the following concerns: • Hours of operation • Delivery schedule • Project lighting, landscaping, building height, and traffic circulation • Building setback from the residential property line • Signage • Building materials • Fencing • Liquor sales • Requested Variances and Waivers • Number of employees		
Field Check			
07/16/07	A site visit was conducted and the project location is a partially developed lot located adjacent to existing commercial and residential development with access from El Capitan Way.		

Details of Application Request		
Site Area		
Gross Acres	3.21 acres	
Net Acres	1.72 acres	

VAR-23109 - Staff Report Page Three October 11, 2007 - Planning Commission Meeting

Surrounding Property	Existing Land Use	Planned Land Use	Existing Zoning	
	Partially developed	SC- TC (Service	T-C (Town Center)	
	with asphalt	Commercial Town		
Subject Property	driveway and	Center) Special Land		
	Commercial Retail	Use Designation		
	Building			
	Single-family	R-CL (Single Family	PCD (Planned	
North	Residential	Residential Compact	Community	
		Lot)	Development)	
	Vacant land	SC- TC (Service	T-C (Town Center)	
South		Commercial Town		
South		Center) Special Land		
		Use Designation		
	Existing	SC- TC (Service	T-C (Town Center)	
East	Commercial	Commercial Town		
East	Building	Center) Special Land		
		Use Designation		
	Vacant land	SC- TC (Service	T-C (Town Center)	
West		Commercial Town		
west		Center) Special Land		
		Use Designation		

Special Districts/Zones	Yes	No	Compliance
Special Area Plan			
T-C Town Center District	X		Y
Special Districts/Zones	Yes	No	Compliance
Special Purpose and Overlay Districts			
T-C Town Center District	X		Y
Trails		X	NA
Rural Preservation Overlay District		X	NA
Development Impact Notification Assessment		X	NA
Project of Regional Significance		X	NA

DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS

The following Title 19.04 Parking requirements for a General Retail use apply:

Parking Requirement							
	Gross Floor	Required		Provided		Compliance	
	Area or	Parkii		ing	Parking		
	Number of	Parking		Handi-		Handi-	
Use	Units	Ratio	Regular	capped	Regular	capped	
General Retail							
less than							
3,500 square							
feet	14,028	1/175	80	4	71	4	N
SubTotal			80		71		
TOTAL			80		71		N
Loading							
Spaces			2		4		Y
Percent							
Deviation					139	%	

ANALYSIS

The proposed General Retail use is subject to the parking standards of Title 19.04 and Title 19.10. The project as designed will provide 71 parking spaces where 81 is the minimum required which represents a 13 percent deviation from standard. No physical site constraints exist on the subject parcel that prevents the project from adequately addressing the parking standard of 1 space for every 175 square feet of gross floor area.

FINDINGS

In accordance with the provisions of Title 19.18.070(B), Planning Commission and City Council, in considering the merits of a Variance request, shall not grant a Variance in order to:

- 1. Permit a use in a zoning district in which the use is not allowed;
- 2. Vary any minimum spacing requirement between uses;
- 3. Relieve a hardship which is solely personal, self-created or financial in nature."

Additionally, Title 19.18.070L states:

"Where by reason of exceptional narrowness, shallowness, or shape of a specific piece of property at the time of enactment of the regulation, or by reason of exceptional topographic conditions or other extraordinary and exceptional situation or condition of the piece of property, the strict application of any zoning regulation would result in peculiar and exceptional practical difficulties to, or exceptional and undue hardships upon, the owner of the property, a variance

VAR-23109 - Staff Report Page Five October 11, 2007 - Planning Commission Meeting

from that strict application may be granted so as to relieve the difficulties or hardship, if the relief may be granted without substantial detriment to the public good, without substantial impairment of affected natural resources and without substantially impairing the intent and purpose of any ordinance or resolution."

No evidence of a unique or extraordinary circumstance has been presented in that the applicant has created a self-imposed hardship by designing a project that will result in a parking impaired commercial development. An alternative that reduces the floor area of the proposed structure would allow conformance to the Title 19 requirements. In view of the absence of any hardships imposed by the site's physical characteristics, it is concluded that the applicant's hardship is preferential in nature, and it is thereby outside the realm of NRS Chapter 278 for granting of Variances.

10

<u>NEIGHBORHOOD ASSO</u>	<u>CIATIONS NOTIFIED</u>
ASSEMBLY DISTRICT	13
SENATE DISTRICT	9
NOTICES MAILED	716
<u>APPROVALS</u>	4
<u>PROTESTS</u>	11

NELGINO DILO OD AGGO GLA EVONG NO EVEND